

Automatic analysis of 2D polyacrylamide gels in the diagnosis of DNA polymorphisms

<http://www.biomedical-engineering-online.com/content/12/1/68>

Automatic analysis of 2D polyacrylamide gels in the diagnosis of DNA polymorphisms

Robert Koprowski^{1*}, Zygmunt Wróbel¹, Anna Korzyńska², Karolina Chwiałkowska³ and Mirosław Kwaśniewski³

* Corresponding author: Robert Koprowski robert.koprowski@us.edu.pl

Author Affiliations

¹ Department of Biomedical Computer Systems, Institute of Computer Science, University of Silesia, Będzińska 39, 41-200, Sosnowiec, Poland

² Nałęcz Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering, Polish Academy of Sciences, Ks. Trojdena 4, 02-109, Warszawa, Poland

³ Department of Genetics, University of Silesia, Jagiellońska 28, 40-032, Katowice, Poland

For all author emails, please log on.

BioMedical Engineering OnLine 2013, 12:68 doi:10.1186/1475-925X-12-68

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at: <http://www.biomedical-engineering-online.com/content/12/1/68>

Received: 22 May 2013 Accepted: 25 June 2013 Published: 8 July 2013

© 2013 Koprowski et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Abstract Introduction

The analysis of polyacrylamide gels is currently carried out manually or automatically. In the automatic method, there are limitations related to the acceptable degree of distortion of lane and band continuity. The available software cannot deal satisfactorily with this type of situations. Therefore, the paper presents an original image analysis method devoid of the aforementioned drawbacks. Material

This paper examines polyacrylamide gel images from Li-Cor DNA Sequencer 4300S resulting from the use of the electrophoretic separation of DNA fragments. The acquired images have a resolution dependent on the length of the analysed DNA fragments and typically it is $MG \times NG = 3806 \times 1027$ pixels. The images are saved in TIFF format with a grayscale resolution of 16 bits/pixel. The presented image analysis method was performed on gel images resulting from the analysis of DNA methylome profiling in plants exposed to drought stress, carried out with the MSAP (Methylation Sensitive Amplification Polymorphism) technique. Results

The results of DNA polymorphism analysis were obtained in less than one second for the Intel Core™ 2 Quad CPU Q9300@2.5GHz, 8GB RAM. In comparison with other known methods, specificity was 0.95, sensitivity = 0.94 and AUC (Area Under Curve) = 0.98. Conclusions

It is possible to carry out this method of DNA polymorphism analysis on distorted images of polyacrylamide gels. The method is fully automatic and does not require any operator intervention. Compared with other methods, it produces the best results and the resulting image is easy to interpret. The presented method of measurement is used in the practical analysis of polyacrylamide gels in the Department of Genetics at the University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland. Keywords: Gel; Image processing; Polyacrylamide; Segmentation; 2D Introduction

Modern DNA analysis is used in many areas of life sciences, from biology [1] to forensic medicine or microwave analysis [2,3]. For many such cases, the analysis of DNA is associated with electrophoresis carried out on polyacrylamide gels, an universal analytical technique used to separate DNA fragments by size. The advantages of using polyacrylamide gels are low cost of staining separated DNA fractions and also easily interpretable analysis results. The obtained results are compared manually or semi-automatically. The manual method involves a manual selection of lanes and bands that are in the analysed area. Most often this occurs by selecting interesting bands on the printed analysis result (Figure 1) or by placing the lines along the lane on the computer screen. This analysis involves finding the location of the subsequent bands along the lane that was marked by the operator. In this case, errors occur:

- due to different print quality depending on the type of printer (contrast, type of paper used etc.),

- related to the participation of human factors, i.e.: the impact of experience, fatigue, sensitivity to illusions related to the impact of the expected result on the course of the analysis and an individual threshold of distinguishing bands from the background.

thumbnailFigure 1. Manual analysis of polyacrylamide gels in the distorted polyacrylamide gel image. The operator manually selects interesting bands. Their location, number, and correlation with other bands detected manually are recorded in the margin. Because of characteristic distortion, common software cannot deal with the arrangement of individual lanes in these images.

A major difficulty is also the amount of time devoted to the same analysis and the lack of reproducibility of measurements.

Known methods and software for automatic analysis have disadvantages mainly related to the analysis of gel images in which individual bands are not located on a straight lane (Figure 1). There are also problems with the proper separation of lanes and detection of bands which are arranged close to each other. The analysis of the DNA fragments, observed as bands on a gel image, can thus be divided into two parts: the separation of lanes and the separation of bands in each lane. The result of detection of band positions is most often the matrix LDNA containing the value "1" in the places where a band occurs and "0" in the other places. The number of rows of the matrix LDNA corresponds to the number of positions of all the bands, and the number of columns corresponds to the number of gel lanes [4,5]. Since the matrix LDNA is, by definition, a binary matrix, further analysis and comparison of results for subsequent lanes is easy. Therefore, a key issue is appropriate separation of lanes and bands for each lane related to image analysis and processing.

The first works on the analysis and processing of polyacrylamide gel images obtained from electrophoresis are from the 80's, for example, the works of L. Lipkln [6] or Stanley et al. [7]. These relate to the basic methods of analysis of image brightness for each lane. The authors of [8] does not include any information on how to separate individual lanes. The authors assume that they are arranged perfectly parallel. Similar assumptions are in [9,10]. The authors of [11] from 2001 present the analysis of individual bands using information about the brightness gradient. Bands are defined depending on the distance between the changes of the gradient sign. This method is useless when two neighbouring bands are connected or there is uneven brightness on the whole gel. In other works, different methods of image analysis and processing are used, e.g.: active contour [12], the Gaussian distribution [11], fuzzy c-means algorithm [13] or statistical analysis [14]. Another group of works is devoted to the development of these methods. For example, the works of J. Pizzonia [15] and L. Carol [16], GILE software (Gel-Image-Extractor) [17] or [18-23]. In [18], gels in large scale were analysed, [19] used the method of least squares, and [20] shows a method of using morphological operations (erosion) in the analysis of ROI (Region Of Interest) of gels. The aforementioned GILE software [17] is not the only available software. There are other applications for automatic or semi-automatic analyses of 2D gels, such as GelQuant [24], GelAnalyzer [25], Gel-Pro Analyzer [26], Decodon [27], BioNumerics 2D [28], Delta2D [29], ImageMaster 2D, Melanie [30], PDQuest [31], Progenesis Samespots [32] or REDFIN [33] and many others. A wide range of available programs for gel image analysis enables to obtain satisfactory results in the case of simple gels with individual lanes arranged in parallel. If there are artefacts, connected lanes or bands, this group of software [19,24-33] allows for their manual editing. In these cases, the method is semi-automatic or fully manual. Therefore, more sophisticated methods of image analysis must be used or the analysis algorithm must be profiled precisely to the specified problem (a given type of gels). One such method proposed by the authors is described below. It is characterized by a new approach to the analysis of polyacrylamide gels which provides: fully automatic measurement of the band position, automatic determination of the lane position in cases of their local distortion, results in the form of a matrix of band occurrences (for all lanes). A special feature that distinguishes the approach presented below from other well-known methods, is the correct algorithm operation in cases of changes in lane thickness. Material

This paper examines polyacrylamide gel images from Li-Cor DNA Sequencer 4300S resulting from the use of the electrophoretic separation of DNA fragments. The acquired images have a resolution dependent on the length of the analysed DNA fragments and typically it is $MG \times NG = 3806 \times 1027$ pixels. The images are saved in TIFF format with a grayscale resolution of 16 bits/pixel. The images of banding patterns of DNA amplification products were obtained after digestion with two enzymes, namely HpaII and MspI, used in the DNA methylome profiling method, MSAP (Methylation Sensitive Amplification Polymorphism).

The analysis was performed on DNA isolated from plants exposed to drought stress at four time points t1, t2, t3 and t4 and from control plants at one time point (t5), which gave a total of 5 points (the first two time points t1 and t2 are shown in Table 1). At each time point, DNA of 3 plants was analysed (biol1, biol2 and biol3 – Table 1). The reaction was carried out in two technical replicates for each enzyme, for a total of four trials for each of the three biological replicates. The trials are arranged on gels vertically in successive lanes according to Table 1. Banding patterns were analysed by assessing the presence or absence of a band for a given track by transforming the gel image into a matrix consisting of "0" or "1", where "0" means no band and "1" means its presence. Further analysis involves designation of the dominant banding pattern for all replicates, both the technical as well as biological ones. What is subject to assessment are the differences in banding patterns between the points t1,t2,t3,t4 and t5. A total of 20 gel images were analysed in each of 60 tracks with different levels of distortion.

Table 1. The arrangement of biological and technical replicates on the gel - the first two time points
Implementation of the new method

The new method, proposed by the authors, is based on the analysis of gel images which is carried out in two separate stages: analysis of lanes and analysis of bands. In both stages there are similar problems with the detection of objects and the removal of their redundancy (interference). Both the first analysis and the latter one require pre-filtration of the image and normalization described below. Preprocessing

In the first stage, the image LG with a resolution of $MG \times NG = 3806 \times 1027$ pixels is subjected to filtration with a median filter whose mask size is 3×3 pixels (the result is the image LF) [34-36]. The median filter mask size was chosen based on the image resolution and the size of possible artefacts present in the image (minor defects, CCD errors and noise of the electronics). In a further step, the image LF undergoes normalization operation from the range of brightness levels corresponding to 16 bits (216) to the floating range 0-1. The image LO thus obtained is subjected to further processing steps. Processing – the analysis of lanes

The input image LO, after filtration and normalization, was subjected to the analysis of lanes. It involves the operation of closure with a structural element SE sized $MSE \times NSE = 40 \times 1$ pixels [37-41]. The size of the structural element SE was chosen in such a way as to highlight the changes in brightness between individual lanes in the gel image. When decreasing the resolution MSE, the contrast between individual lanes decreases. An increase in the resolution MSE causes the loss of information about the angle changes of the lane. When increasing NSE, on the other hand, the contrast between adjacent lanes decreases.

The resulting image LC is further analysed in the subsequent rows. For each row in the range $m \in [0, MG]$, level differences of brightness $yC(n)$ are analysed in relation to the filtered function $yT(n)$ of $yC(n)$. The value of $yC(n)$ is brightness for the selected m i.e. $yC(n) = LC(m, n)$ for $m = \text{const}$, while the value of $yT(n)$ results from the filtration of $yC(n)$ with an averaging filter sized 1×10 . The averaging filter size is chosen once and is equal to the typical lane width, which in this case is 10 pixels. The resulting differences are shown in Figures 2 and 3 where differences below zero (black bands in the white background) form the white pixels and the other ones form black pixels. The resulting image LB is the final stage of the analysis of lanes. For further analysis, it will be used in conjunction with the source image LO.

thumbnailFigure 2. Gel input image and subsequent stages of the lane analysis. From the left: the input image LG, the image LO after filtration and normalization, and below, the image LC after opening and the image LB which is a binary image with automatically marked lanes. Due to the high resolution of the presented images, on their right side there is a chart that shows changes in brightness of a fragment.

thumbnailFigure 3. Examples of functions of changes in brightness for the row of the image matrix LC. There are shown differences between $yC(n)$ and $yT(n)$ which provide valuable information about local changes in brightness. Depending on the value of these differences, decisions are made about the detection of lanes whose width must fall within the adopted range. Narrower objects are considered as interference and the wider are split into smaller ones. Processing – the analysis of bands

The analysis of band positions requires detection of each lane individually. This means that it should be possible to separate each lane in the image LB. Unfortunately, in practice, due to the existence of artefacts, a complete separation of each lane is impossible. The lanes visible in the image LB are often linked together, interrupted or terminated in the wrong place - Figure 4[42-46]. Due to such situations, the analysis of individual bands and their location for individual lanes must be performed in smaller ROIs. The ROI size must be no less than the width of a single lane and not greater than an average distance between the artefacts. In practice, it appears that the best results are obtained for ROI sizes that are 10 to 20 times the width of the lane [47,48]. In the analysed case, it is $MROI \times NROI = 200 \times 200$ pixels. The image LB is divided into $MG/MROI$ in rows and $NG/NROI$ in columns. In total, for the resolution of the image LG equal to $MG \times NG = 3806 \times 1027$ pixels, there are, after rounding, 95 ROIs for the analysis. For each ROI, the lanes were labelled, which gave the image LIND. Then, band detection is carried out for each lane in an analogous manner to lane detection [49-51]. The difference between the brightness $yP(m)$, calculated along the lane, and its averaged result $yG(m)$ are analysed. The averaging area of the input waveform $yP(m)$ is chosen once, individually for each device. This area is dependent on the LG image resolution and typical (average) lane width. For the case described, it is the area of 10 pixels. Depending on the result of $yPG(m) = yP(m) - yG(m)$, the location of markers which indicate the subsequent bands is determined. Two features are considered here: the maximum difference $yPGi = \max(yPG(m))$ for $m \in [mpi, mki]$ where mpi, mki are the beginning and end of the i -th band and the width of the band $\Delta mi = mki - mpi$. The band feature based on the pair $yPGi$ and Δmi can be compared to the area under the curve $yPGi$ - Figure 5. The parameter Δmi , which enables the separation of combined bands, will be used in further analysis. In practice, it is most convenient to adopt the acceptable range of variation of Δmi covering the range of 50-150% of a typical band width. Below this range (<50%), a detected object is considered to be interference, whereas above this range (>150%) a detected object is considered as a combination of two bands. Another considered parameter pr of thresholding $yPGi$ was chosen on the basis of the analysis of sensitivity SPC and specificity TPR. The values of sensitivity and specificity were determined by comparing the performed automatic analysis with the manual analysis carried out by an expert for 20 images containing 60 lanes each. Depending on the detection or omission of a band in any of the trials, the results were determined as false negative FN, false positive FP, true negative TN and true positive TP. The obtained results of FN, FP, TN, TP for the optimal value of $pr = 9\%$ are shown in Table 2 (SPC=0.95, TPR=0.94).

thumbnailFigure 4. Image showing various artefacts that occur when analysing lanes. The images show the following cases: A) – correct, the lanes are continuous and have a fixed width, B) – incorrect, the lanes have uneven width, C), D) - incorrect, the lanes are broken and their proper identification and classification, without further analysis, is difficult, E) the lanes are not arranged in a straight line, F) the lanes do not have equal width.

thumbnailFigure 5. Changes in the brightness of a selected lane in the image LO. The difference between the brightness $yP(m)$ calculated along the lane and its averaged result $yG(m)$ is vital. Depending on the result of $yP(m) - yG(m)$, the position of markers indicating subsequent bands is determined. The chart shows the range $mk - mp$ which is the width of a sample band.

Table 2. Results of FP, FN, TP, TN, SPC and TPR obtained for the threshold pr changed in the range from 0 to 30%

The last stage of the analysis is the conversion of individual band positions to the matrix LDNA in which columns correspond to subsequent lanes and rows to the location of subsequent bands - Figure 6. This matrix is formed as a result of the conversion of the band and lane coordinates (msi, nsi) for the adopted yPGi and Δmi . This matrix is further verified in terms of reproducibility between technical replicates (Table 1), biological replicates and finally differences in the DNA structure. Then, these differences are easy to mark automatically (a comparison of adjacent columns with the operation xor). In this case, the matrix LDNA has a constant number of columns equal to the number of lanes, whereas the number of rows is variable and depends on the threshold value, namely pr . This matrix has a resolution of 5×60 to 200×60 pixels for typical conditions.

thumbnailFigure 6. Input image with marked and identified bands and the output matrix LDNA for A) $pr=0.2$, B) $pr=0.4$, C) $pr=0.6$, D) $pr=0.8$. Each row of the matrix LDNA is the band position and the column is the subsequent lane. The matrix LDNA can be directly compared for selected columns. The comparison concerns the assessment of conformity of performed technical and biological tests and the differences between the structure of the DNA. The white pixels indicate a recognized band and the black ones indicate the background.

The next section shows the comparison of the quality of the obtained results with other methods described in other works. Comparison with other methods

The comparison of the quality of the results was carried out on 20 available gel images containing 60 lanes each, which gave a total of 1200 lanes. Two image analysis algorithms, known from the literature, were implemented; method 1 - [5] and method 2 - [11]:

Method 1 - proposed by I. Bajla et al. in [5] - Figure 7A. This method involves filtration with a non-linear two-dimensional filter. Then, lane detection, smoothing and the analysis of peaks in the background area of the lane are carried out in the resulting image. In the next step, an operator manually corrects false results. Obtained results are shown in the form of bands in a gel diagram. This method is not fully automatic. The operator must manually correct the falsely detected bands. The number of wrongly identified bands varies and is highly dependent on the operator's individual features, mainly contrast threshold below which the band is considered as interference.

thumbnailFigure 7. Algorithm block diagrams. A) - the algorithm proposed in this article, B), C) - other compared algorithms proposed by I. Bajla in [5] and Jiann-Der Lee in [11]. Visible differences relate primarily to the main analysis of data. In the proposed method, the analysis consists of two steps: lane detection followed by band detection. In the other compared methods, the main element of the algorithm is band detection, whereas lane identification is neither analyzed nor presented.

Method 2 - proposed by Jiann-Der Lee et al. in [11] - Figure 7B. The analysis of images is as follows. After entering the image, there is lane detection followed by band detection. Band detection is carried out on the basis of the enhanced fuzzy c-means algorithm. The authors suggest a nonlinear estimator based on the Gaussian kernel transformation. The position of the band, which is treated as an ellipse of varying sizes, is recognized in the range proposed by the authors. The analysis errors obtained by the authors for the database of gel images described in [11] are 6.7% and 12.8% when using the N. Otsu thresholding method [52].

Method 3 - described in this paper - Figure 7C.

All 3 methods were implemented according to the descriptions in [5,11] and in accordance with the block diagrams shown in Figure 7A,B and C. The results depend on three elements: the degree of lane tortuosity, the threshold of band distinction and shifts in the position of bands for individual lanes.

The degree of lane tortuosity influences, to a significant extent, the distinction error of the band position in the axis Ox . The consequence of winding lanes or their uneven thickness is that the error of the correct assignment of a band to a lane for the 1200 analysed lanes for methods 1 and 2 is very large and highly dependent on the amplitude of lane tortuosity (Figure 4E). In method 3, discussed in this paper, the error does not exceed a few per cent (the exact comparison is carried out in the next paragraph). The advantage of method 3 over methods 1 and 2 results from the lane area analysis. However, the problem with method 3 is an appropriate separation of lanes when the shift does not fall within the range of the ROI analysis. Although methods 1 and 2 enable manual correction of the obtained results, it consumes a lot of time and requires operator intervention in the results. Method 3, on the other hand, is fully automatic.

The threshold of band distinction is highly dependent on the adopted methodology of gel image analysis. In method 1, the band width on one-dimensional waveform is specified. The changes in the median values in front of and behind the band are analysed. Based on this comparison, a new band is recognized on a given lane. Due to the constant band width adopted in method 1 $\Delta mi = \text{const}$. This method cannot deal with the proper detection of bands which are close to each other, especially in situations when the combined total width of the bands is not close to a multiple of their width ($2 \cdot \Delta mi$), and these situations often occur in practice [50,51,53]. In method 2, two closely situated bands are well separated even when their combined width is not equal to a multiple of their width.

In each method, the selection (manual or automatic [52,54]) of the brightness threshold pr , that determines the visibility of bands, becomes dominant. As a result, for methods 1, 2 and 3, the threshold pr was changed while observing changes in specificity and sensitivity of the ROC curves (Receiver Operating Characteristic). The results are shown in Figure 8. The best results were obtained for method 3, i.e.: $SPC=0.95$, $TPR=0.94$. In the case of methods 1 and 2, low sensitivity and specificity are due to the lack of manual correction of the results. For method 1, specificity and sensitivity are as follows: $SPC=0.72$, $TPR=0.5$. For method 2, they are: $SPC=0.71$, $TPR=0.72$. Manual correction of the results obtained in methods 1 and 2 improves the results to the ideal values. Therefore, in the case of manual correction of the results, they are always only slightly better than the results obtained from the presented automatic method 3 ($SPC=0.95$, $TPR=0.94$ and $AUC=0.98$) - Table 3.

thumbnailFigure 8. ROC graph. Dependency of sensitivity and specificity changes for the changes in the cut-off threshold pr for methods 1, 2 and 3 without manual correction of the results. Changes in pr are in the range from 0 to 1 for the compared methods 1, 2 and 3. The best results were obtained for method 3 presented in this article. Methods 1 and 2 have worse results. For manual correction of the results, possible in methods 1 and 2, the obtained results are perfect.

Table 3. Comparison results of the three methods for the analysis of polyacrylamide gel images

Comparing the results for the analysed lanes of all gels, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- method 1 enables to obtain satisfactory results for little complex analysis in which there is no need to analyse lane tortuosity; it is possible to manually correct the incorrect results,
- method 2 enables to obtain good results for complex analysis; it is possible to manually correct the incorrect results,
- method 3 enables to obtain good results fully automatically even in the case of winding lanes; it is fully automatic.

In addition to methods 1 and 2, the described method 3 can be compared with many other known methods. These are the ones mentioned in the introduction, or for example, the method described in detail by Caridade C. in [55]. The author describes the method (GEIAS) of gel image analysis and the correction of their wrong position - rotation. For 12 images (1082 bands in total) analysed in [55] the error is 9.2%. The images are also rotated in the angular range from -10° to 10° at 0.5° increments. The resistance of the proposed algorithm GEIAS to rotation is then analysed. The method described in [55] does not cover the width distortion of individual lanes which often occurs in practice. It is one of the major differences in comparison to method 3 proposed in this paper.

Method 3, in the described cases, enables to obtain the best results, but it does have its drawbacks. These include:

- limited resistance to lane width distortion - especially in situations when they occur together with the noise of image acquisition,
- limited resistance to the decay of individual lanes - for example due to the errors in image acquisition or other (biological) factors,
- the need for single introduction of selected parameters of the algorithm for each new gel image acquisition device.

Elimination of these defects is difficult in practice and will be the subject of the authors' future works. Conclusions

The proposed methodology for the analysis and processing of polyacrylamide gel images enables to perform an automatic and repeatable measurement of the position of lanes and bands. This method is superior to the previously presented methods, described in [5] and [11], in cases of gel deformation. In such situations, each track width is different and it is difficult to identify to which lane the recognized band belongs.

Proper identification of bands and lanes in the described method enables to obtain the output image LDNA. This image (LDNA) contains information about the band positions in rows and information about the lane positions in columns. The number of recognized bands is highly dependent on the threshold pr which is determined manually or automatically. The best results (SPC=0.95, TPR=0.94 and AUC=0.98) were obtained for $pr=8\%$. The results are worse for other threshold values (Figure 6).

The presented measurement method is used in practical analysis of polyacrylamide gels in the Department of Genetics at the University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland.

Further studies concern the correction of the obtained results shown in Figure 6. In the case of differences between repeats shown in the image LDNA, it is necessary to move back to the image LO and analyse the brightness of the area of interest. Depending on the comparison, correction must be made in the image LDNA. However, this method suggests a distinct approach to the analysis of lanes that involves the comparison of adjacent lanes directly in the image LO, which requires separate studies. Abbreviations

GILE: Gel-Image -Extractor; SPC: Specificity; TPR: sensitivity; TP: True positive; TN: True negative; FN: False negative; FP: False positive; ROC: Receiver Operating Characteristic; ROI: Region Of Interest. Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Authors' contributions

RK suggested the algorithm for image analysis and processing, implemented it and analysed the images. ZW, AK, KC and MK performed the acquisition of the gel images and consulted the obtained results. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. Acknowledgement

No outside funding was received for this study. References

Korzyńska A, Hoppe A, Strojny W, et al.: Investigation of a combined texture and contour method for segmentation of light microscopy cell images. Biomedical Engineering: Proceedings of the Second IASTED International Conference on; 2004::234-239. OpenURL

Foster KR: Comments on DNA as a fractal antenna.

Int J Radiat Biol 2011, 87(12):1208-1209. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Foster KR, Epstein BR, Gealt MA: "Resonances" in the dielectric absorption of DNA?

Biophys J 1987, 52(3):421-425. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | PubMed Central Full Text OpenURL

Patel D: Gel electrophoresis: Essential data. New York: Wiley; 1994. OpenURL

Bajla I, Holländer I, Burg K: Improvement of Electrophoretic Gel Image.

Measurement Science Review 2000, 1(1):5-10. OpenURL

Lipkln L, Lemkin PF: Data-Base Techniques for Multiple Two-Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis Analyses.

Clin Chem 1980, 26(10):1403. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Stanley KK, Pitt TJ: Quantification of polyacrylamide gel bands by digital image processing.

Anal Biochem 1983, 133(2):476-81. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Ye X, Suen CY, Cheriet M, Wang E: A Recent Development in Image Analysis of Electrophoresis Gels.

Vision Interface '99, Trois-Rivières 1999, 19-21:432-438. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Machado A, Campos M, Siqueira M, Carvalho O: An Iterative Algorithm for Segmenting s in Gel Electrophoresis Images.

Brazilian Symposium on Computer Graphics and Image Processing - SIBGRAPI 1997, 10:140-146. OpenURL

Griffiths AJF, Miller JM, Suzuki DT: An introduction to genetic analysis. New York: WH Freeman & Co; 2000. OpenURL

Lee J, Huang CH, Wang NW, Lu CS: Automatic DNA sequencing for electrophoresis gels using image processing algorithms.

J Biomed Sci Eng 2011, 4(8):523-528. Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Gürçan MN, Koyutürk M, Yildiz HS, Cetin-Atalay R, Cetin AE: Identification of relative protein bands in polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using a multi-resolution snake algorithm.

Biotechniques 1999, 26(6):1162-6.

1168-9
PubMed Abstract OpenURL

Rashwan HA, Sarhan AM, Faheem MT, Youssef BA: A Novel Approach for Protein Spots Quantification in Two- Dimensional Gel Images.

International Journal of Signal Processing, Image Processing and Pattern Recognition 2011, 4(1):1-15. OpenURL

Kuick R, Boerwinkle E, Hanash SM, Sing CF: A Statistical Analysis of Spot Variation Using the Two-Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis.

Computers And Biomedical Research 1986, 19(1):90-102. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Pizzonia J: Electrophoresis gel image processing and analysis using the KODAK 1D software.

Biotechniques 2001, 30(6):1316-20. PubMed Abstract OpenURL

Ladner CL, Yang J, Turner RJ, Edwards RA: Visible fluorescent detection of proteins in polyacrylamide gels without staining.

Anal Biochem 2004, 326:13-20. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Gel-image extractor software<http://www.utoronto.ca/greenblattlab/people/yilliu.htm> webcite

Wang DG, et al.: Large-scale identification, mapping, and genotyping of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the human genome.

Science 1998, 280:1077-1082. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Vohradsky J, Panek J: Quantitative analysis of gel electrophoretograms by image analysis and least squares modeling.

Electrophoresis 1993, 14:601-612. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Umesh PS, Flint J: An efficient tool for genetic experiments: Agarose gel image analysis.

Pattern Recognition 2003, 36:2453-2461. Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Masra MS, Kipli S, Zamhari N: A Simulation Software for DNA Computing Algorithms Implementation, Muhammad.

World Academy of Science, Engineering & Technology 2011, 72:271. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | PubMed Central Full Text OpenURL

Xu W, Zhai Z, Huang K, et al.: A Novel Universal Primer-Multiplex-PCR Method with Sequencing Gel Electrophoresis Analysis.

PLoS One 2012, 7(1):1. OpenURL

Kim Y, Kim JJ, Won Y, In Y: Segmentation of protein spots in 2d gel electrophoresis images with watersheds using hierarchical threshold.

LNCS - Computer and Information Sciences - ISCIS 2003, 2869:389-396. OpenURL

GelQuant software.

<http://biochemlabsolutions.com/GelQuantNET.html> webcite
OpenURL

Gelanalyzer software.

<http://www.gelanalyzer.com/> webcite
OpenURL

GelPro software.

<http://www.mediacy.com/index.aspx?page=GelPro> webcite
OpenURL

Decodon software.

<http://www.decodon.com/> webcite
OpenURL

BioNumerics2D software.

http://www.applied-maths.com/bionumerics_2d/bionumerics_2d.htm webcite
OpenURL

Delta2D software.

<http://www.gelifesciences.com/> webcite
OpenURL

Melanie software.

<http://www.genebio.com/products/melanie/> webcite
OpenURL

Bio-Rad software.

<http://www.bio-rad.com/> webcite
OpenURL

Progenesis Samespots software.

<http://www.nonlinear.com/products/progenesis/samespots/overview/> webcite
OpenURL

RedFin software.

<http://www.ludesi.com/redfin/> webcite
OpenURL

Sugahara Y, Hayashizaki Y, Tanihata I: An automatic image analysis system for RLGS_LMS.

Mammalian Genome 1998, 9:643-651. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Takahashi K, Nakazawa M, Watanabe Y: DNA insight: An image processing system for 2-d gel electrophoresis of genomic DNA.

Genome Informatics 1997, 8:135-146. PubMed Abstract OpenURL

Morris JS, Clark BN, Gutstein HB: Pinnacle: a fast, automatic and accurate method for detecting and quantifying protein spots in 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis data.

Bioinformatics 2008, 24(4):529-536. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | PubMed Central Full Text OpenURL

Korzynska A, Iwanowski M: Multistage morphological segmentation of bright-field and fluorescent microscopy images.

Opto-Electronics Review 2012, 20(2):174-186. Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Koprowski R, Wróbel Z: Layers recognition in tomographic eye image based on random contour analysis.

Computer Recognition Systems 3, Advances in Intelligent and Soft Computing 2009, 57:471-478. Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Koprowski R, Wrobel Z, Zieleznik W: Automatic Ultrasound Image Analysis in Hashimoto's Disease.

Advances In Pattern Recognition Book Series: Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2010, 6256:98-106. Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Koprowski R, Wrobel Z: The cell structures segmentation. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2005::569-576. [Computer Recognition Systems, Proceedings Book Series: Advances In Soft Computing] OpenURL

Porwik P, Para T: Some handwritten signature parameters in biometric recognition process. In Proceedings of the ITI 2007 29th International Conference on Information Technology. Cavtat: CROATIA; 2007::185-190. [Interfaces Book Series: ITI] OpenURL

Ijaz UZ, Chaudhary SU, Don MS, Kim KY: Computational Strategies for Protein Quantitation in 2D Electrophoresis Gel Image Processor for Matlab. In Proceedings of the 2007 Frontiers in the Convergence of Bioscience and Information Technologies, FBIT 2007. Jeju Island, Korea; 2007::129-134. OpenURL

Hoeflich CS, Corso JJ: Segmentation of 2D Gel Electrophoresis Spots Using a Markov Random Field.

Proceedings of SPIE Conference on Medical Imaging 2009, 7259:40. OpenURL

Iakovidis DK, Maroulis D, Zacharia E, Kossida S: A Genetic Approach to Spot Detection in two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis images. Greece: Proceedings of International Conference on Information, Technology in Biomedicine; 2006. OpenURL

Manolakos ES TP: Active Contours Based Segmentation of 2DGE Proteomics Images. Lausanne, Switzerland: Proceedings of Eusipco; 2008. OpenURL

Yoon JW, Godsill SJ, Kang C, Kim TS: Bayesian Inference for 2D Gel Electrophoresis Image Analysis. Development: Bioinformatics Research and; 2007::343-356. OpenURL

Rashwan S, Faheem T, Sarhan A, Youssef BA: A relational fuzzy c-means algorithm for detecting protein spots in two-dimensional gel images. Advances in Computational Biology: Springer; 2010. OpenURL

Berth M, Moser F, Kolbe M, Bernhardt J: The state of the art in the analysis of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis images.

Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2007, 76:1223-1243. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | PubMed Central Full Text OpenURL

Zhou G, Li H, DeCamp D, et al.: 2D Differential In-gel Electrophoresis for the Identification of Esophageal Scans Cell Cancer-specific Protein Markers.

Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 2002, 1:117-123. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text OpenURL

Kim G, Kim MH: 2D Electrophoresis Gel Image and Diagnosis of a Disease.

CoRR The Computing Research Repository 2003, 305048:1-10. OpenURL

Steven P, Aebersold G, Aebersold R: Absolute Quantitation of 2-D Protein Spots Methods.

Mol Biol 2008, 112:417-421. OpenURL

Otsu N: A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms.

Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics 1979, 9(1):62-66. OpenURL

Opara K: Image segmentation polyacrylamide gels in the analysis of DNA polymorphisms. Edited by Koprowski R. Poland: Uniwersytet Śląski; 2006.

Sonka M, Michael Fitzpatrick J: Medical Image Processing and Analysis. Bellingham SPIE: In Handbook of Medical Imaging; 2000. OpenURL

Caridade CMR, Marcal ARS, Mendonca T, Pessoa AM, Pereira S: Automatic information extraction from Gel Electrophoresis Images using GEIAS.

Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2010, 6112:185-194. Publisher Full Text OpenURL